

ON STRONG (A) -RINGS

N. MAHDOU AND A. RAHMOUNI HASSANI

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we introduce a strong property (A) and we study the transfer of property (A) and strong property (A) in trivial ring extensions and amalgamated duplication of a ring along an ideal. We also exhibit a class of rings which satisfy property (A) and do not satisfy strong property (A) .

1. INTRODUCTION

Throughout this paper, all rings are commutative with identity element, and all modules are unital. One of important properties of commutative Noetherian rings is that the annihilator of an ideal I consisting entirely of zero-divisors is nonzero ([12], p. 56). However, this result fails for some non-Noetherian rings, even if the ideal I is finitely generated ([12], p. 63). Huckaba and Keller [11] introduced the following: a commutative ring R has property (A) if every finitely generated ideal of R consisting entirely of zero divisors has a non zero annihilator. Property (A) was originally studied by Quentel [18]. Quentel used the term condition (C) for property (A) . The class of commutative rings with property (A) is quite large; for example, Noetherian rings ([12], p. 56), rings whose prime ideals are maximal [7], the polynomial ring $R[X]$ and rings whose classical ring of quotients are Von Neumann regular [7]. Using this property, Hinkle and Huckaba [8] extend the concept Kronecker function rings from integral domains to rings with zero divisors. Many authors have studied commutative ring R with property (A) , and have obtained several results which are useful studying commutative rings with zero-divisors. For instance, see [1, 10, 7, 11, 15, 16, 18]).

In this paper, we investigate a particular class of rings satisfying property (A) that we call satisfy strong property (A) . A ring R is called satisfying strong property (A) if every finitely generated ideal of R which is generated by a finite number of zero - divisors elements of R , has a non zero annihilator; that is, if there exists $a_i \in R$ such that $I = \sum_{i=1}^n Ra_i$ and $a_i \in Z(R)$ for each i , then there exists $0 \neq a \in R$ such that $aI = 0$.

If a ring R has strong property (A) then R has naturally property (A) . Our aim in this paper is to prove that the converse is false in general.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 13G055, 13A15, 13F05; Secondary 13G05, 13F30.

Key words and phrases. (A) - Ring, strong (A) - Ring, trivial ring extensions, amalgamated duplication of ring along an ideal.

Let A be a ring, E an A -module. The trivial ring extension of A by E (also called the idealization of E over A) is the ring $R := A \ltimes E$ whose underlying group is $A \times E$, the set of pairs (a, e) with $a \in A$ and $e \in E$, with multiplication given by $(a, e)(a', e') = (aa', ae' + a'e)$.

Trivial ring extensions have been studied extensively; the work is summarized in Glaz's book [6] and Huckaba's book [10]. These extensions have been useful for solving many open problems and conjectures in both commutative and non-commutative ring theory. See for instance [2, 6, 10, 13].

Section 2 investigates the transfer of strong property (A) and property (A) to trivial ring extensions. Using these results, we construct a class of rings with property (A) which do not have strong property (A).

The amalgamated duplication of a ring R along an R -submodule of the total ring of quotients $T(R)$, introduced by D'Anna and Fontana and denoted by $R \bowtie E$ (see [3, 4, 5]), is the following subring of $R \times T(R)$ (endowed with the usual componentwise operation):

$$R \bowtie E = \{(r, r + e) \mid r \in R, e \in E\}.$$

It is obvious that, if in the R -module $R \oplus E$ we introduce a multiplicative structure by setting $(r, e)(s, f) := (rs, rf + se + ef)$, where $r, s \in R$ and $e, f \in E$, then we get the ring isomorphism $R \bowtie E \cong R \oplus E$. When $E^2 = 0$, this new construction coincides with the Nagata's idealization. One main difference between this constructions, with respect to the idealization (or with respect to any commutative extension, in the sense of Fossum) is that the ring $R \bowtie E$ can be a reduced ring and it is always reduced if R is a domain (see [3, 5]). If $E = I$ is an ideal in R , then the ring $R \bowtie I$ is a subring of $R \times R$.

In section 3, we examine the transfer of these properties to the amalgamated duplication of a ring along an ideal. Using these results, we construct a class of ring with property (A) which does not have strong property (A).

Through this paper, we denote by an (A)-ring (resp., strong (A)-ring) a ring which satisfies property (A) (resp., a strong property (A)) and $Z(R)$ the set of all zero divisors of R .

2. STRONG PROPERTY (A) IN TRIVIAL RING EXTENSIONS

We begin this section by giving an example of an (A)-ring which is not a strong (A)-ring.

Example 2.1. Let $D = K[X]$ the polynomial ring over a field K and set $R := D \times D$ the direct product of D by D . Then:

- 1) R is an (A)-ring.
- 2) R is not a strong (A)-ring.

Proof. 1) $R := D \times D$ is an (A)-ring by ([9], Proposition 1.3) since D is an (A)-ring

2) We claim that $R := D \times D$ is not a strong (A)-ring. Deny. Let $I := R(X, X) = XD \times XD = R(X, 0) + R(0, X)$. We put $a_1 = (X, 0)$ and $a_2 = (0, X)$; then $a_1 a_2 = 0$ and so there exists $0_R \neq (\alpha, \beta) \in R$ ($:= D \times D$) such that $(0, 0) = (\alpha, \beta)I = (\alpha XD) \times (\beta XD)$. But, $\alpha XD = 0$ and $\beta XD = 0$ implies that $\alpha = \beta = 0$ since D is a domain, a contradiction. Therefore, $R := D \times D$ is not a strong (A)-ring. $\square$

Hong an al. [9] proved that a ring R has property (A) if and only if the trivial ring extension $R \propto R$ has property (A). Now, we investigate the transfer of strong property (A) and property (A) to the trivial ring extension of the form $R := A \propto E$, where E is a free A -module.

Theorem 2.2. *Let A be a commutative ring, E a free A -module and let $R := A \propto E$. Then:*

- (1) *A is a strong (A)-ring if and only if so is R .*
- (2) *A is an (A)-ring if and only if so is R .*

Proof. (1) Assume that A is a strong (A)-ring and let $J = \sum_{i=1}^n R(a_i, b_i)$ be a finitely generated ideal of R such that $(a_i, b_i) \in Z(R)$ for each i . Two cases are then possible:

Case 1: $a_i = 0$ for all i . Then for all $0 \neq e \in E$, $(0, e)J = 0$, as desired.

Case 2: Assume that there exists k such that $a_k \neq 0$ and set $I := \sum_{i=1}^n Aa_i$. We claim that a_i is a zero-divisor for all i . Deny. There exists j such that a_j is regular. Now, let $0_R \neq (\alpha_j, \beta_j) \in R$ such that $(\alpha_j, \beta_j)(a_j, b_j) = 0$, that is $\alpha_j a_j = 0$ and $\alpha_j b_j + a_j \beta_j = 0$ (since $(a_i, b_i) \in Z(R)$). Since a_j is regular then $\alpha_j = 0$ and $a_j \beta_j = 0$. But, $\beta_j \in E$ which is a free A -module, then $\beta_j = \sum_{l=1}^n d_l c_l$ where $C := (c_1, \dots, c_n, \dots)$ is a basis of the free A -module E and $d_l \in A$ for each $l = 1, \dots, n$. This implies that $a_j d_l = 0$ and so $d_l = 0$ for each $l = 1, \dots, n$ (since a_j is regular); this means that $\beta_j = 0$ and then $(\alpha_j, \beta_j) = 0_R$, a contradiction since $(\alpha_j, \beta_j) \neq 0_R$. Therefore, $a_i \in Z(A)$ for each $i = 1, \dots, n$.

Hence, there exists an element $0 \neq a \in A$ such that $aI = 0$ since A is a strong (A)-ring. Let e be an element of E such that $ae \neq 0$ and set $b := (0, ae) \in R - \{0\}$. Hence, $bJ = (0, ae) \sum_{i=1}^n R(a_i, b_i) = \sum_{i=1}^n R(0, ae)(a_i, b_i) = (0, 0)$ since $aI = 0$. It follows that J has a nonzero-annihilator and so R is a strong (A)-ring.

Conversely, let $I = \sum_{i=1}^n Aa_i$ be a finitely generated ideal of A such that $a_i \in Z(A)$ for each $i = 1, \dots, n$. Hence, there exists $0 \neq b_i \in A$ such that $b_i a_i = 0$. Set $J := \sum_{i=1}^n R(a_i, 0)$ be a finitely generated ideal of R . But, $(b_i, 0)(a_i, 0) = (b_i a_i, 0) = (0, 0)$. Hence, there exists $0_R \neq (a, e) \in R$ such that $(a, e)J = 0_R$ since R is a strong (A)-ring; that means that $aa_i = 0$ and $ea_i = 0$ for each i . Two cases are then possible:

Case 1: $a \neq 0$. Then $aI = 0$, as desired.

Case 2: $a = 0$. In this case, $eI = 0$ and $e \neq 0$ (since $(0, e) \neq (0, 0)$). On the other hand, $e \in E$ is a free A -module, then e is of the form $e = \sum_{i=1}^n f_i c_i$ where $C := (c_1, \dots, c_n, \dots)$ is a basis of E and $f_i \in A$ for each $i = 1, \dots, n$. It follows that, $0 = eI = \sum_{i=1}^n (f_i I) c_i$ and then $f_i I = 0$ for each $i = 1, \dots, n$. Now, let $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ such that $f_j \neq 0$ (possible since $e = \sum_{i=1}^n f_i c_i \neq 0$). Therefore, $f_j I = 0$, as desired.

It follows that I has a nonzero annihilator in all cases. Therefore, A is a strong (A) -ring. completing the proof of (1).

(2) Assume that A is an (A) -ring and let $J = \sum_{i=1}^n R(a_i, b_i) \subseteq Z(R)$ be a finitely generated ideal of R . Two cases are then possible:

Case 1: $a_i = 0$ for all i . Hence, for each $0 \neq e \in E$, we have $(0, e)J = (0, e) \sum_{i=1}^n R(0, b_i) = (0, 0)$, as desired.

Case 2: There exists i such that $a_i \neq 0$. Set $I := \sum_{i=1}^n Aa_i$. We wish to show that $I \subseteq Z(A)$. Let $a \in I$, that is $a = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i a_i \in I$ for some $\alpha_i \in A$. Then $(a, e) := \sum_{i=1}^n (\alpha_i, 0)(a_i, b_i) \in J \subseteq Z(R)$. Therefore, there exists a nonzero element $(b, f) \in R$ such that $(0, 0) = (b, f)(a, e) = (ba, be + af)$. Two cases are then possible:

(a): $b \neq 0$. In this case $ba = 0$, as desired.

(b): $b = 0$. Then $f \neq 0$ (since $(b, f) \neq (0, 0)$) and $af = 0$. But, $f \in E$ which is a free A -module, then $f = \sum_{i=1}^n f_i c_i$ where $C := (c_1, \dots, c_n, \dots)$ is a basis of the free A -module and $f_i \in A$ for each $i = 1, \dots, n$. This implies that $af_i = 0$ for each $i = 1, \dots, n$. Now, let $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ such that $f_j \neq 0$ (possible since $f = \sum_{i=1}^n f_i c_i \neq 0$). Therefore, $af_j = 0$ and so $I \subseteq Z(A)$. Hence, there exists a nonzero element $d \in A$ such that $0 = dI$, since A is an (A) -ring. Let e be an element of E such that $de \neq 0$, and set $b := (0, de) \in R - \{0\}$. Hence, $bJ = (0, de) \sum_{i=1}^n R(a_i, b_i) = (0, 0)$ since $dI = 0$. It follows that J has a non-zero annihilator and so R is an (A) -ring.

Conversely, let $I = \sum_{i=1}^n Aa_i \subseteq Z(A)$ be a finitely generated ideal of A . Set $J := \sum_{i=1}^n R(a_i, 0)$ and we wish to show that $J \subseteq Z(R)$. Let $(b, f) \in J$, that is $(b, f) = \sum_{i=1}^n (\alpha_i, \beta_i)(a_i, 0) = (\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i a_i, \sum_{i=1}^n \beta_i a_i)$ for some $(\alpha_i, \beta_i) \in R$. Two cases are then possible:

Case 1: $b := \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i a_i (\in I) \neq 0$. Since $I \subseteq Z(A)$, there exists an element $0 \neq a \in A$ such that $a(\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i a_i) = 0$. Let $e \in E$ such that $ae \neq 0$. Then $(b, f)(0, ae) = [\sum_{i=1}^n (\alpha_i, \beta_i)(a_i, 0)](0, ae) = (\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i a_i, \sum_{i=1}^n \beta_i a_i)(0, ae) = (0, 0)$, as desired.

Case 2: $b := \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i a_i = 0$. Then, $(0, f)(0, e) = (0, 0)$ for all $0 \neq e \in E$ and so $(b, f) \in Z(R)$.

Hence, $(b, f) \in Z(R)$ in all cases, which means that $J \subseteq Z(R)$.

Since R is an (A) -ring, then $(a, e)J := (a, e)(\sum_{i=1}^n Aa_i, \sum_{i=1}^n Ea_i) = (0, 0)$ for some $0_R \neq (a, e) \in R$. We obtain $a \sum_{i=1}^n Aa_i = 0$ and $a \sum_{i=1}^n Ea_i + e \sum_{i=1}^n Aa_i = 0$, that is $aI = 0$ and $aIE + eI = 0$. Two cases are then possible:

$\star_1$: $a \neq 0$. Then I has a nonzero annihilator (since $aI = 0$), as desired.

★₂: $a = 0$. In this case, $eI = 0$ and $e \neq 0$ (since $(a, e) \neq 0$). On the other hand, $e \in E$ is a free A -module, then e is of the form $e = \sum_{i=1}^n b_i c_i$ where $C := (c_1, \dots, c_n, \dots)$ is a basis of a free A -module E and $b_i \in A$ for each $i = 1, \dots, n$. It follows that, $0 = eI = \sum_{i=1}^n (b_i I) c_i$ and then $b_i I = 0$ for each $i = 1, \dots, n$. Now let $j \in 1, \dots, n$ be such that $b_j \neq 0$ (possible since $e = \sum_{i=1}^n b_i c_i \neq 0$). So, $b_j I = 0$ and $b_j \neq 0$. It follows that I has a nonzero-annihilator and so A is an (A) -ring. This completes the proof of the Theorem. $\square$

Now we are able to give a class of rings which are (A) -rings and which are not a strong (A) -rings.

Example 2.3. Let A be an (A) -ring which is not a strong (A) -ring (see [Example 2.1]). Set $R := A \propto E$, where E is a free A -module. Then:

- (1) R is not a strong (A) -ring by Theorem 2.2.(1).
- (2) R is an (A) -ring by Theorem 2.2.(2).

Now we study the transfer of the strong property (A) (resp. property (A)) to trivial ring extensions of any ring by its quotient field.

Theorem 2.4. *Let A be a ring, Then:*

- (1) *Let $Q(A)$ be the total ring of quotient of A , and let $R := A \propto Q(A)$ be the trivial ring extension of A by $Q(A)$. Then:*
 - a) *R is a strong (A) -ring if and only if so is A .*
 - b) *R is an (A) -ring if and only if so is A .*
- (2) *Let A be an integral domain, $k := qf(A)$ be the quotient field of A , E be a k -vector space, and let $R := A \propto E$ be the trivial ring extension of A by E . Then R is a strong (A) -ring. In particular, R is an (A) -ring.*
- (3) *Let E be an A -module and let $R := A \propto E$ be the trivial ring extension of A by E such that $S^{-1}E = S^{-1}A$ for some multiplicatively closed subset S of A consisting of regular elements. Then:*
 - a) *R is a strong (A) -ring if and only if so is A .*
 - b) *R is an (A) -ring if and only if so is A .*

Before proving this Theorem, we establish the following Lemmas.

Lemma 2.5. *Let A be a ring and let S be a multiplicatively closed subset of A consisting entirely of regular elements. Then A is a strong (A) -ring if and only if so is $S^{-1}A$.*

Proof. Assume that A is a strong (A) -ring and let $R = S^{-1}A$. Let $J := \sum_{i=1}^n R a_i b_i^{-1}$ be a finitely generated ideal of R such that $a_i b_i^{-1} \in Z(R)$. Set $I := \sum_{i=1}^n A a_i \subseteq J$. Thus, there exists an element $0 \neq c_i d_i^{-1} \in R$ such that $c_i d_i^{-1} a_i b_i^{-1} = 0$ (since

$a_i b_i^{-1} \in Z(R)$); hence $c_i a_i = 0$ and so $a_i \in Z(A)$. Since A is a strong (A) -ring, there exists an element $0 \neq b \in A$ such that $bI = 0$. Therefore, $bJ = 0$ and so R is a strong (A) -ring.

Conversely, suppose that R is a strong (A) -ring and let $I = \sum_{i=1}^n Aa_i$ be a finitely generated ideal of A such that $a_i \in Z(A)$. Then, note that $J := \sum_{i=1}^n Ra_i$ is a finitely generated ideal of R such that $a_i \in Z(R)$. Since R is a strong (A) -ring, there exists an element $0 \neq ab^{-1} \in R$ such that $ab^{-1}J = 0$. Hence, $a \sum_{i=1}^n Aa_i = 0$ and so A is a strong (A) -ring. $\square$

Lemma 2.6. *Let D be a domain, E be a torsion free D -module and let $R := D \times E$ be the trivial ring extension of D by E . Then R is a strong (A) -ring. In particular, it is an (A) -ring.*

Proof. Let $J = \sum_{i=1}^n R(a_i, e_i)$ be a finitely generated proper ideal of R such that $(a_i, e_i) \in Z(R)$. We claim that $a_i = 0$ for each $i = 1, \dots, n$. Deny. There exists $i = 1, \dots, n$ such that $a_i \neq 0$ and there exists $(b_i, f_i) \in R - (0, 0)$ such that $(0, 0) = (a_i, e_i)(b_i, f_i) = (a_i b_i, a_i f_i + e_i b_i)$. Thus, $a_i b_i = 0$ and $a_i f_i + e_i b_i = 0$ and so $b_i = 0$ (since $a_i \neq 0$ and D is a domain) and then $f_i = 0$ (since $a_i f_i = 0$, $a_i \neq 0$, and E is a torsion free D -module), a contradiction, as $(b_i, f_i) \neq (0, 0)$. Hence, $a_i = 0$ for each $i = 1, \dots, n$.

Hence, $J \subseteq 0 \times E$. Therefore, $(0, e)J \subseteq (0, e)(0 \times E) = (0, 0)$ for each $0 \neq e \in E$ and so R is a strong (A) -ring. In particular, R is an (A) -ring. $\square$

Lemma 2.7. ([9], Proposition 2.14) *Let A be a ring and let S a multiplicatively closed subset of A consisting of regular elements. Then A is an (A) -ring if and only if so is $S^{-1}A$.*

Proof of Theorem 2.4. .

(1a) Set $R := A \rtimes Q(A)$ and let $S = R \setminus Z(R)$. Hence, R is a strong (A) -ring if and only if so is $S^{-1}R$, by Lemma 2.5 since $S = R \setminus Z(R)$. Then, R is a strong (A) -ring if and only if so is $Q(A) \rtimes Q(A) (= S^{-1}A \rtimes S^{-1}A = S^{-1}R)$. On the other hand, $Q(A) \rtimes Q(A)$ is a strong (A) -ring if and only if so is $Q(A)$, by Theorem 2.2(1). Therefore, R is a strong (A) -ring if and only if so is A , by Lemma 2.5.

b) We know that $R := A \rtimes Q(A)$ is an (A) -ring if and only if so is $S^{-1}R$, by Lemma 2.7 since $S = R \setminus Z(R)$. Then, R is an (A) -ring if and only if so is $Q(A) \rtimes Q(A) (= S^{-1}A \rtimes S^{-1}A = S^{-1}R)$. On the other hand, $Q(A) \rtimes Q(A)$ is an (A) -ring if and only if so is $Q(A)$, by ([9], Theorem 2.3). Therefore, R is an (A) -ring if and only if so is A , by Lemma 2.7.

(2) Now, $R := A \rtimes E$ is a strong (A) -ring (in particular, R is an (A) -ring) if and only if so is $S^{-1}R$. Then R is a strong (A) -ring (in particular, R is an (A) -ring)

if and only if so is $K \propto E$ ($:= S^{-1}A \propto S^{-1}E = S^{-1}R$). On the other hand, $K \propto E$ is a strong (A)-ring (in particular, $K \propto E$ is an (A)-ring) by Lemma 2.6. Therefore, R is a strong (A)-ring (in particular, R is an (A)-ring).

(3)a) The ring $R := A \propto E$ is a strong (A)-ring if and only if so is $S^{-1}R$. Then R is a strong (A)-ring if and only if so is $S^{-1}A \propto S^{-1}A$ ($:= S^{-1}A \propto S^{-1}E = S^{-1}R$). On the other hand, $S^{-1}A \propto S^{-1}A$ is a strong (A)-ring if and only if so is $S^{-1}A$ by Theorem 2.2(1). Therefore, R is a strong (A)-ring if and only if so is A by Lemma 2.5.

b) The ring $R := A \propto E$ is an (A)-ring if and only if so is $S^{-1}R$. Then R is an (A)-ring if and only if so is $S^{-1}A \propto S^{-1}A$ ($:= S^{-1}A \propto S^{-1}E = S^{-1}R$). On the other hand, $S^{-1}A \propto S^{-1}A$ is an (A)-ring if and only if so is $S^{-1}A$ by ([9], Theorem 2.3). Therefore, R is an (A)-ring if and only if so is A by Lemma 2.7. This completes the proof of Theorem 2.4. $\square$

Now, we study the homomorphic image of an (A)-ring (resp., a strong (A)-ring). First, let A be an (A)-ring (resp. a strong (A)-ring) and I an ideal of A . Then A/I is not, in general, an (A)-ring (resp., not a strong (A)-ring) as the following example shows:

Example 2.8. Let R be a ring which is not an (A)-ring (in particular is not a strong (A)-ring), $S = R[X]$ be the polynomial ring over R and set $I := (X^n) = SX^n$ the principal ideal of S . Then:

- 1) S is a strong (A)-ring (in particular is an (A)-ring) by ([11], Corollary 1).
- 2) $S/I = R[X]/(X^n)$ is not an (A)-ring (by [9], Corollary 2.6), in particular it is not a strong (A)-ring.

Now, assume that A/I be a strong (A)-ring (resp., an (A)-ring), then A is not, in general, a strong (A)-ring (resp., an (A)-ring) even if $I^2 = 0$ as the following example shows:

Example 2.9. Let K be a field and let $A := K[X, Y]$ be the polynomial ring over K with indeterminates X and Y , which is an (A)-ring. Let $E = \bigoplus_p A/(p)$ where p ranges over the primes of A and (p) is the principal ideal of A generated by p . Set $R := A \propto E$ the trivial ring extension of A by E . Then:

- 1) R is not an (A)-ring (by [9], Example 2.4). In particular, R is not a strong (A)-ring.
- 2) Set $I := 0 \propto E$. Then $R/I (= A = k[X, Y])$ is an (A)-ring. In particular, R/I is a strong (A)-ring.

3. STRONG PROPERTY (A) IN AMALGAMATED DUPLICATION OF A RING ALONG AN IDEAL

This section is devoted to the transfer of strong Property (A) and Property (A) in amalgamated duplication of a ring along an ideal. Recall that a regular ideal

of a ring R is an ideal which contains a regular element of R .

Theorem 3.1. *Let R be a ring, I be a proper ideal of R and let $S := R \bowtie I$ be the amalgamated duplication of a ring R along I . Then:*

- 1) *If $R \bowtie I$ is a strong (A) -ring, then so is R .*
- 2) a) *If $R \bowtie I$ is an (A) -ring, then so is R .*
- b) *Assume that I is a regular ideal of R . Then $R \bowtie I$ is (A) -ring if and only if so is R .*

Before proving this Theorem, we establish the following Lemma.

Lemma 3.2. ([5], Corollary 3.3(d)) *Let R be a commutative ring, I be a regular ideal of R and $Q(R)$ be the total ring of fractions of R . Then $Q(R \bowtie I) = Q(R) \times Q(R)$.*

Proof of Theorem 3.1. 1) Let $J = \sum_{i=1}^n Ra_i$ be a finitely generated ideal of R such that $a_i \in Z(R)$ for each $i = 1, \dots, n$; that is there exists $0 \neq b_i \in R$ such that $b_i a_i = 0$. Set $L := \sum_{i=1}^n (R \bowtie I)(a_i, 0)$ be a finitely generated ideal of S . But $(a_i, 0) \in Z(R \bowtie I)$ since $(b_i, 0)(a_i, 0) = (b_i a_i, 0) = (0, 0)$ since $b_i a_i = 0$. Hence, there exists $(0, 0) \neq (b, j) \in S$ such that $(0, 0) = (b, j)L = (b, j) \sum_{i=1}^n (R \bowtie I)(a_i, 0) = (b, j) (\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i a_i, \sum_{i=1}^n j_i a_i)$ since S is a strong (A) -ring. We obtain $b \sum_{i=1}^n Ra_i = 0$ and $j \sum_{i=1}^n j_i a_i = 0$. Two cases are then possible:

Case 1: $b \neq 0$. Then $bJ = 0$, as desired.

Case 2: $b = 0$. Then $0 \neq j \in I$ and we have $(0, 0) = (0, j) \sum_{i=1}^n (R \bowtie I)(a_i, 0) = \sum_{i=1}^n (R \bowtie I)(0, j a_i)$. Hence $j a_i = 0$ for all $i = 1, \dots, n$ and so $jJ = 0$.

In all cases, J has a non zero annihilator. Therefore, R is a strong (A) -ring.

2) a) Let $J := \sum_{i=1}^n Ra_i (\subseteq Z(R))$ be a finitely generated ideal of R . Set $L := \sum_{i=1}^n (R \bowtie I)(a_i, 0)$ be a finitely generated ideal of S . Our aim is to show that $L \subseteq Z(R \bowtie I)$. Let $(b, j) \in L$, that is $(b, j) = \sum_{i=1}^n (\alpha_i, j_i)(a_i, 0) = (\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i a_i, \sum_{i=1}^n j_i a_i)$. Two cases are then possible:

Case 1: $b = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i a_i \neq 0$. Hence, there exists $0 \neq a \in R$ such that $a(\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i a_i) = 0$. Since $J \subseteq Z(R)$, we obtain $(b, f)(a, -a) = (0, 0)$ as desired.

Case 2: $b = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i a_i = 0$. Then $(0, j)(a, -a) = (0, 0)$ for all $0 \neq a \in R$.

Then in all cases $(b, j) \in Z(R \bowtie I)$, and so $L \subseteq Z(R \bowtie I)$. Since S is an (A) -ring, then for some $0 \neq (b, j) \in S$, $(0, 0) = (b, j)L$, that is $(0, 0) = (b, j)(\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i a_i, \sum_{i=1}^n j_i a_i)$. We obtain $b \sum_{i=1}^n Ra_i = 0$ and $j \sum_{i=1}^n j_i a_i = 0$. Two cases are then possible:

$\star_1$: $b \neq 0$. Then $bJ = 0$, as desired.

$\star_2$: $b = 0$. Then $0 \neq j \in I$ and we have $(0, 0) = (0, j) \sum_{i=1}^n (R \bowtie I)(a_i, 0) = \sum_{i=1}^n (R \bowtie I)(0, j a_i)$. Therefore, $j a_i = 0$ for all $i = 1, \dots, n$ and so $jJ = 0$.

In all cases, J has a nonzero annihilator. Hence, R is an (A) -ring.

b) If $R \bowtie I$ is an (A) -ring, then so is R by (2-a). Conversely, assume that R is an

(A)-ring. Then $Q(R)$ is an (A)-ring by ([9], Proposition 2.14), and so $Q(R) \times Q(R)$ is an (A)-ring by ([9], Proposition 1.3), that means that $Q(R \bowtie I)$ is an (A)-ring (by Lemma 3.2). Therefore, $R \bowtie I$ is an (A)-ring by ([9], Proposition 2.14) and this completes the proof of Theorem 3.1. $\square$

In general, a ring R is a strong (A)-ring does not imply that the amalgamated duplication of R along an ideal I of R is a strong (A)-ring even if R is a local integral domain and I is its maximal ideal as the following example shows. It is also a new example of a ring which is an (A)-ring and is not a strong (A)-ring .

Example 3.3. Let K be a field and let $R = K[[X]]$ be the power series ring over K . Let $I = (X)$ be the ideal of R generated by X . Consider $S := R \bowtie I$ be the amalgamated duplication of a ring R along I . Then:

- 1) R is a strong (A)-ring.
- 2) $S := R \bowtie I$ is not a strong (A)-ring.
- 3) S is an (A)-ring.

Proof. 1) Clear since R is an integral domain.

2) Let $J := S(0, X) + S(X, -X)$ be a finitely generated ideal of S , with $(0, X)(X, -X) = 0$. We claim that does not exist $(P, P + Q) \in S$ such that $(P, P + Q)J = 0$. Deny. There exists $(P, P + Q) \in S \setminus (0, 0)$ such that $(P, P + Q)J = 0$. But $(P, P + Q)(X, -X) = 0$ implies that $P = 0$ (since R is a domain) and $(0, Q)(0, X) = 0$ implies that $Q = 0$, a contradiction. Therefore, S is not a strong (A)-ring.

3) By Theorem 3.1(2.b) $\square$

REFERENCES

1. F. Azarpanah, O. A. S. Karamzadeh and A. Rezai Aliabad, On ideals consisting entirely of zero divisors, Comm. Algebra 28 (2000),1061-1073.
2. C. Bakkari, S. Kabbaj and N. Mahdou, Trivial extensions defined by Prüfer conditions, J. Pure Appl. Algebra. In Press.
3. M. D'Anna, A construction of Gorenstein rings, J. Algebra. 306 (2006), no. 2, 507-519.
4. M. D'Anna and M. Fontana, The amalgamated duplication of a ring along a multiplicative-canonical ideal, Ark. Mat. 45(2007), no. 2, 241-252.
5. M. D'Anna and M. Fontana, An amalgamated duplication of a ring along an ideal: the basic properties, J. Algebra Appl. 6 (2007), no. 3, 443-459.
6. S. Glaz, Commutative coherent rings, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 1371. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1989.
7. M. Henriksen and M. Jerison, The space of minimal prime ideals of a commutative ring, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 115 (1965), 110-130.
8. G. Hinkle and J. Huckaba, The generalized Kronecker function ring and the ring $R(X)$, J. Reine Angew. Math. 292 (1977), 25-36.
9. C. Y. Hong, N. K. Kim, Y. Lee and S. J. Ryu, Rings with Property (A) and their extensions, J. Algebra 315 (2007), 612-628.

10. J. A. Huckaba, Commutative rings with zero divisors, Marcel Dekker, New York, 1988.
11. J. A. Huckaba and J. M. Keller, Annihilation of ideals in commutative rings, Pacific J. Math. 83 (1979), 375-379.
12. I. Kaplansky, Commutative Rings, Allyn and Bacon, Boston, 1970.
13. S. Kabbaj and N. Mahdou, Trivial extensions defined by coherent-like conditions, Comm. Algebra 32 (10) (2004), 3937-3953.
14. J. Lambek, Lectures on Rings and Modules, Bllaisdall, Waltham, Mass., 1966.
15. T. G. Lucas, Two annihilator conditions: Property (A) and (a.c), Comm. Algebra 14 (1986), 557-580.
16. T. G. Lucas, The diameter of a zero divisors graph, J. Algebra 301 (2006), 174-193.
17. H. R. Maimani and S. Yassemi, Zero divisor graphs of amalgamated duplication of a ring along an ideal, J. Pure Appl. Algebra 212 (2008), no. 1, 168-174.
18. Y. Quentel, Sur la compacité du spectre minimal d'un anneau, Bull. Soc. Math. France 99 (1971), 265-272.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FACULTY OF SCIENCES AND TECHNOLOGY OF FEZ, BOX 2202,
UNIVERSITY S. M. BEN ABDELLAH, FEZ, MAROC
E-mail address: `mahdou@hotmail.com`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FACULTY OF SCIENCES AND TECHNOLOGY OF FEZ, BOX 2202,
UNIVERSITY S. M. BEN ABDELLAH, FEZ, MAROC
E-mail address: `rahmounihassani@yahoo.fr`